



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS - 1963 - A



REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE						
	ARKINGS					
AD-A160 184	3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT					
26. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.				
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) N/A		AFOSR-TR- 3 5 - 0 8 5 6				
64 NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Bb. OFFICE SYMBOL Center for Multivariate Analysis (Sapplicable)		7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION AFOSR				
6c. ADDRESS (City. State and ZIP Code) 515 Thackeray Hall University of Pittsburgh, PA 15260		7b. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) Bldg. 410 Bolling AFB, D.C. 20332-6448				
8. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	86. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER				
AFOSR	<u> </u>	F49620-85-C-0008 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NOS.				
Bidg. 410 Bolling AFB, B.C. 20332-6448		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.	WORK UNIT	
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)		61102F	2304	A5		
An Extension of Spitzer's I	ntegral Represen	tation Theore	with an A	pplication		
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)	udhakeichna Dao	and D. M. Char	nhhaa			
Abdul Hamid A Alzaid C Radhakeichna Ran 13a TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED		i '		15. PAGE CO	UNT	
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	то	LApril_	1985	110		
17. COSATI CODES	18. SUBJECT TERMS (C	ontinue on reverse if ne	cessary and identi	fy by block number)		
Branching process, Cauchy equation, Damage Models, Potential theory of Markoff chains, Rao-Rubin condition						
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and	d identify by block number	-1	 			
Using a new approach, an extending stationary measures of a complet used to provide a complet generalized Rao-Rubin condition of the state of the st	discrete branch	ina nracess i	s obtained	Thic rec	ult is ying a	
DTIC FILE COPY				P A	U	
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRAC		21. ABSTRACT SECL		CATION		
UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED T SAME AS RPT. T DTIC USERS		Unclassified				
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL		22b. TELEPHONE NO (Include Area Co		22c. OFFICE SYMS	OL.	
Resan H. Hoodruff MAJ USAF		(202)767-5	027	NM .		

AN EXTENSION OF SPITZER'S INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION THEOREM WITH AN APPLICATION*

by

Abdulhamid A. Alzaid, C. Radhakrishna Rao and D. N. Shanbhag

King Saud University, Saudia Arabia, University of Pittsburgh, U.S.A. and The University of Sheffield, U.K.

Data Dopy Doctet

April 1985
Technical Report No. 85-11

Center for Multivariate Analysis Thackeray Hall University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, PA 15260

			 -
Accesio	n For		
NTIS	CRA&I	Z	
DTIC	TAB		- }
Unannounced			- I
Justific	ation	.,	
By Dict.ib:	ution/	, yeşşaga abişah etina pe	
A	vailability	Codes	_
Dist	Avail a Spec		
A			

*The work of the last two authors was supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Contract F49620-85-C-0008. The United States Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for governmental purposes not withstanding any copyright notation herein.

Approved for public release;

AN EXTENSION OF SPITZER'S INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION THEOREM WITH AN APPLICATION

bу

Abdulhamid A. Alzaid, C. Radhakrishna Rao and D.N. Shanbhag

King Saud University, Saudi Arabia, University of Pittsburgh, U.S.A. and The University of Sheffield, U.K.

Summary Using a new approach, an extended version of Spitzer's integral representation for stationary measures of a discrete branching process is obtained. This result is used to provide a complete solution to a problem in damage models satisfying a generalized Rao-Rubin condition.

Key Words: Branching process, Cauchy equation, Damage Models, Potential theory of Markoff chains, Rao-Rubin condition.

AMS Subject Classification: 60E05, 62E10.

COME OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a modified discrete branching process $\{Z_n; n=0,1,...\}$ with one step tansition probabilities given by

(1.1)
$$p_{ij} = P(Z_{n+1} = j | Z_n = i) = \begin{cases} c p_j^{(i)}, & i = 0, 1, ...; j = 1, 2, ... \\ 1 - c + c p_0^{(i)}, & i = 0, 1, ...; j = 0 \end{cases}$$

where $0 < c \le 1$ and $\{p_j^{(1)}\}$ is the i-fold convolution of some nondegenerate probability distribution $\{p_j^{}\}$ with itself for i > 0 and the degenerate distribution at zero if i = 0. (It is seen that the process reduces to Bienaymé-Galton-Watson branching process when c = 1). Define

(1.2)
$$m = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j p_j, m^* = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (j \log j) p_j.$$

In this paper, we extend the Spitzer's integral representation for stationary measures of a Bienaymé-Galton-Watson process with m<1 and m < m to a branching process of the type defined in (1.1). Such an extension could be arrived at by using arguments similar to those of Spitzer (1967) based on the potential theory of Markoff chains. However, we give a new approach based on Bernstein's theorem on absolutely monotonic functions. We use the extended version of Spitzer's theorem to obtain a complete identification of the solutions to a certain functional equation in damage models considered earlier by Talwalker (1980) and Rao et al. (1980), and thus establish a link between branching processes and damage models.

AIR FORCE OPPTON OF SCIENTIFIC PROSESSOR (ATOM.)

1 lon

2. AN EXTENDED VERSION OF SPITZER'S INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION

We establish the following theorems.

Theorem 1. The generating function $U(s) = \sum_j s^j$ of any stationary measure $\{n_j\}$ of the modified branching process defined in (1.1) is analytic for |s| < q where q is the smallest non-negative root of the equation s = f(s), and (if normalized so that $U(p_0) = 1$) satisfies the equation

$$cU(f(s)) = c + U(s)$$

where f(s) is the g.f. (generating function) of $\{p_j\}$. Conversely, if $U(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} s^j$, $n_j \ge 0$, |s| < q satisfies (2.1), then $\{n_j\}$ is a stationary measure.

<u>Proof</u> If U(s) is analytic for $|s| < s_0$ for some $s_0 > 0$, then

$$U(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \eta_{j} s^{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_{ij} s^{j}$$

$$= c \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_{i} ([f(s)]^{i} - [f(0)]^{i})$$

$$= c(U(f(s)) - U(p_{0})), |s| < s_{0}$$

which implies that (2.1) is valid at least for $|s| < s_0$. Then using the arguments exactly as in A.N. (Theorem 2, p. 68), [where we use the abbreviation A.N. for the book by Athreya and Ney (1972)], we find that U(s) is analytic for |s| < q. The converse assertion easily follows by equating the coefficients of s^j in (2.1).

Theorem 2. (An extended version of Spitzer's theorem). If m < 1 and $m^* < \infty$ with f as in Theorem 1, then for every probability measure \vee on [0,1)

(2.2)
$$U(s) = K \int_{\{0,1\}} U(s,t) dv(t)$$

is the g.f. of a stationary measure, where

$$U(s,t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} [\exp\{(B(s)-1)m^{n-t}\} - \exp\{-m^{n-t}\}]c^{n-t}$$

with $\mathcal{B}(s)^{+}$ as the unique p.g.f. among those vanishing at s=0 and satisfying the equation

(2.3)
$$B(f(s)) = mB(s) + 1-m$$
.

Conversely, every stationary measure has the representation (2.2) for some probability measure ν on [0,1).

<u>Proof</u> The first part of the Theorem is easily verified. To prove the converse, it is sufficient to establish that the representation is valid for every $s \in [0,1)$. Define then for every $s \in [0,1)$

$$\mathbf{U}^{\star}(\mathbf{s}) = \mathbf{U}(\mathcal{B}^{-1}(\mathbf{s}))$$

where B is as mentioned in the statement of Theorem 2. In view of Theorem 1 and the equation (2.3) we have

(2.4)
$$c^n u^*(m^n s+1-m^n) = u^*(s) + \xi_n, \quad n=1,2,...,; 0 \le s < 1,$$

where

$$\xi_{n} = \begin{cases} c(\frac{1-c^{n}}{1-c}) & \text{if } c \neq 1, \\ n & \text{if } c = 1. \end{cases}$$

We can write (2.4) also as

For an interpretation of B in branching processes, see A.N. (p. 17).

$$U^*(s) = c^n U(1 + m^n Q_n(8^{-1}(s))) - \xi_n, \quad n = 1, 2, ...; \quad 0 \le s < 1,$$

where $Q_n(s) = [f_n(s)-1]/m^n$ with $f_n(s)$ as the n-th iterate of f(s). Consequently

(2.5)
$$U^{*}(s) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \{c^{n}U(1 + m^{n}Q_{n}(0) - m^{n}Q_{n}(0)B_{n}(B^{-1}(s))) - \xi_{n}\}$$

for $0 \le s < 1$, where $B_n(s) = [Q_n(0) - Q_n(s)]/Q_n(0)$. If $0 \le s_1 < s < s_2 < 1$, then noting in particular that $B_n + B$ pointwise (see A.N., p. 47), and B and B^{-1} are strictly increasing on [0,1), we find that

$$(2.6) -m^{n}Q_{n}(0)B_{n}(B^{-1}(s_{1})) < -m^{n}Q_{n}(0)s = -m^{n}Q_{n}(0)B(B^{-1}(s))$$

$$< -m^{n}Q_{n}(0)B_{n}(B^{-1}(s_{2}))$$

for large enough n. Since U is increasing on [0,1) we obtain from (2.5) using (2.6)

$$(2.7) U^*(s_1) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \{c^n U(1 + m^n Q_n(0) - m^n Q_n(0) s) - \xi_n\}$$

$$\leq \overline{\lim} \{c^n U(1 + m^n Q_n(0) - m^n Q_n(0) s) - \xi_n\} \leq \overline{U}^*(s_2).$$

It is seen that $U^*(s)$ is continuous and $U^*(0) = 0$, and hence from (2.7) we have for $s \in [0,1)$

(2.8)
$$U^{*}(s) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \{c^{n}U(1+m^{n}Q_{n}(0)-m^{n}Q_{n}(0)s) - \xi_{n}\}$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \{c^{n}[U(1+m^{n}Q_{n}(0)-m^{n}Q_{n}(0)s) - U(1+m^{n}Q_{n}(0))]\}$$

for $s \in [0,1)$, with the limits well defined. Since for each $n \ge 1$, the expression within the second limit in (2.8) can be expressed as the g.f. of some non-negative sequence for $s \in [0,1)$, the extended continuity theorem given in Feller (1971,

p. 433) implies that U^* is the g.f. of a non-negative sequence. Define now a function \hat{U} on $(-\infty,1)$ such that its restriction to [0,1) is indeed U^* and for any $s \in (-\infty,0)$

$$\hat{U}(s) = c^n U^* (m^n s + 1 - m^n) - \xi_n$$

where n is the smallest integer for which $m^n s + 1 - m^n \in [0,1)$. It is easily seen that

(2.9)
$$c^{n}\hat{U}(m^{n}s+1-m^{n})=\hat{U}(s)+\xi_{n}, n=1,2,...; s \in (-\infty,1)$$

which, since $\hat{U} = U^*$ on [0,1), implies that \hat{U}^* (i.e., $d\hat{U}(s)/ds$, $s \in (-\infty,1)$) exists and is absolutely monotonic on $(-\infty,1)$ with $\lim_{n \to \infty} \hat{U}^*(s) = 0$ as $s \to -\infty$. Since $\hat{U}(0) = 0$, we conclude from Bernstein's theorem that for some measure μ on $(0,\infty)$

(2.10)
$$\hat{U}(s) = \int_{(0,\infty)} (e^{sx}-1) d\mu(x), \quad s \in (-\infty,1).$$

From the equation (2.9), we find that the measure μ is such that

(2.11)
$$c^{n} \int_{(0,\infty)} (e^{sxm^{n}} - 1)e^{(1-m^{n})x} d\mu(x) = \int_{(0,\infty)} (e^{sx} - 1)d\mu(x)$$

$$n = 1, 2, \dots; s \in (-\infty, 1).$$

If $s_0 \in (-\infty,1)$ and $s \in (-\infty,0]$, then subtracting the identity (2.11) from the corresponding identity with s replaced by $s+s_0$ we obtain

(2.12)
$$c^{n} \int_{(0,\infty)}^{e^{sxm}} e^{sxm} (e^{0} - 1) e^{(1-m^{n})x} d\mu(x) = \int_{(0,\infty)}^{e^{sx}} e^{sx} (e^{0} - 1) d\mu(x)$$

which is valid for all $s \in (-\infty,0]$. Then, in view of the uniqueness theorem for Laplace-Stieltjes transforms, (2.12) implies

(2.13)
$$\int_{[m^n,m^{n-1})}^{s_0^x} (e^{s_0^x} - 1) d\mu(x) = c^n \int_{[1,m^{-1})}^{s_0^{xm^n}} (e^{s_0^{xm^n}} - 1) e^{(1-m^n)x} d\mu(x)$$

for $n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$ Since s_0 in (2.13) is arbitrary, we conclude using (2.10) that

(2.14)
$$U^{*}(s) = \hat{U}(s) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{[m^{n}, m^{n-1}]} (e^{Sx} - 1) d\mu(x)$$

$$= \int_{[1, m^{-1}]} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c^{n} (e^{-(1-s)xm^{n}} - e^{-xm^{n}}) e^{x} d\mu(x)$$

$$= K \int_{[0, 1]} \{\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c^{n-t} (e^{-m^{n-t}(1-s)} - e^{-m^{n-t}}) \} d\nu(t)$$

for $s \in [0,1)$, where K is a positive constant and v is a probability measure on [0,1) such that for every Borel subset A of [0,1) we have

(2.15)
$$v(A) = K^{-1} \int_{S_A} e^{x} e^{-\log x/\log m} d\mu(x),$$

where $S_A = \{x: (-\log x / \log m) \in A\}$. [The operation of interchanging the order of summation and integration in (2.14) is justified by either Fubini's theorem or monotone convergence theorem.] The required result now follows on observing that $U(s) = U^*(B(s))$, $s \in [0,1)$.

Remark 1. In the definition 2 on page 432 of Feller (1971), $\overline{0,\infty}$ should be changed to $\overline{0,\infty}$ to make the extended continuity theorem given on page 433 non-ambiguous. [We used this theorem in the proof of our Theorem 2.]

Remark 2. If we define G(i,j) to be the Green function corresponding to the substochastic matrix (p_{ij}) , i,j=1,2,..., then an argument essentially as in A.N. (p. 70) implies that for every subsequence of positive integers $\{k_i\}$ such

that the fractional part of $(-\log k_i)/\log m + t$ with $t \in [0,1)$ we have

$$\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} G(k_{i},j)s^{j}}{G(k_{i},1)} \rightarrow U^{*}(s,t) = \frac{\tilde{U}(s,t)}{\tilde{U}'(0,t)}$$

where $\tilde{U}(s,t)$ is the U(s,t) of Theorem 2 with m^{n-t} replaced by $(-Q(0)m^{n-t})$, Q(0) being as defined in A.N. (p. 40), and

$$\tilde{\mathbf{U}}'(0,t) = \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{U}}(s,t)}{\partial s}\Big|_{s=0}$$

We note that the proof in AN (p. 69) remains valid in the present case as well with U(s,t) changed to $U^*(s,t)$. Consequently, the Poisson-Martin integral representation for a stationary measure analogous to the one given in Seneta (1973, p. 151) for a super regular vector yields the validity of our Theorem 2 with U(s,t) replaced by $\tilde{U}(s,t)$. Now, it is easy to verify that Theorem 2 remains valid even when Q(0) is not necessarily equal to -1 and hence we have an alternative proof of Theorem 2.

Remark 3. The version of Theorem 2 with U(s,t) replaced by U(s,t) of Remark 2 yields Spitzer's theorem when c = 1.

Corollary. If f(s) = 1-m+ms, then every sequence $\{n_j\}$ is a stationary measure iff it is of the following form:

(2.16)
$$n_{j} = K \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\{0,1\}} c^{n-t} e^{-m^{n-t}} \frac{m^{(n-t)j}}{j!} dv(t), \quad j = 1,2,...$$

where ν is a probability measure on [0,1), and K is a positive constant as in Theorem 2.

The result (2.16) is obvious from Theorem 2 in view of the fact that B(s) = s.

3. AN APPLICATION TO DAMAGE MODELS

Let (X,Y) and (X',Y') be 2-vector random variables with non-negative integer valued components such that X and X' have the same marginal distribution $\{g_n\}$ with $g_0 < 1$, and Y and Y' are such that for each n with $g_n > 0$

$$P(Y = r | X = n) = {n \choose r} \pi^{r} (1-\pi)^{n-r}, \quad r = 0,1,...,n,$$

$$P(Y' = r' | X' = n) = {n \choose r} \pi^{r} (1-\pi')^{n-r}, \quad r = 0,1,...,n,$$

where $0 < \pi', \pi < 1$ are fixed. Talwalker (1980) and Rao et al. (1980) considered the problem of characterizing the distributions of X and X' by the equation

(3.1)
$$P(Y=r) = P(Y^{\dagger}=r | X^{\dagger}=Y^{\dagger}), \quad r=0,1,...$$

which is an extended version of the Rao-Rubin condition (Rao and Rubin, 1964). It is seen that (3.1) is equivalent to

(3.2)
$$G(1-\pi+\pi s) = G(s\pi^*)/G(\pi^*), |s| \le 1$$

where G is the g.f. of $\{g_n\}$.

When $\pi = \pi'$, (3.1) is the Rao-Rubin condition, and it is shown by Rao and Rubin (1964) using Bernstein's theorem and in a simpler way by Shanbhag (1974), that in this case G is the p.g.f. of a Poisson distribution. When $\pi > \pi'$, it is easily seen that G is the p.g.f. of a binominal distribution with an arbitrary index and success probability $(\pi - \pi')/\pi(1 - \pi')$.

When $\pi < \pi'$, the picture is totally different, and the family of distributions $\{g_n\}$ for which (3.1) holds is somewhat curious and fairly large. Talwalkar (1980) and Rao et al (1980) identified the family as a mixture of Poisson distributions with the mixing measure itself satisfying a further functional equation. The

following theorem gives a complete identification of the solution and provides a more satisfactory answer and a rigorous proof to the characterization problem than that given earlier.

Theorem 3. Let (X,Y) and (X',Y') be 2-vector random variables as considered above with $0 < \pi < \pi' < 1$. Then (3.1) is valid if and only if

$$g_{j} = K \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{[0,1)} c^{n-t} e^{-(\pi/\pi^{i})^{n-t}} \frac{(\pi/\pi^{i})^{(n-t)j}}{j!} \left[\frac{\pi^{i}-\pi}{\pi^{i}(1-\pi)} \right]^{j} d\nu(t), j=0,1,...$$

where ν is a probability measure on [0,1) and c is a real number lying in (0,1) and K is a normalizing constant.

The result follows from the Corollary to Theorem 2 (see equation (2.16)) since (3.1) is equivalent to (3.2) which can be written as

$$cU(1-m+ms) = U(s) + cU(1-m)$$

with

$$g_0 = \frac{c}{1-c} U(1-m), \quad m = \frac{\pi}{\pi^{5}}$$

$$U(s) = G(\frac{(1-\pi)\pi'}{\pi'-\pi}s) - g_0.$$

Observe that (3.2) implies that the p.g.f. G(s) is defined for all s such that $|s| < \pi'(1-\pi)/(\pi'-\pi)$ and it satisfies (3.2) for every

$$s \in \left(-\frac{\pi'(1-\pi)}{(\pi'-\pi)}, \frac{\pi'(1-\pi)}{\pi'-\pi}\right).$$

Remark 4. If the measure v in Theorem 3 is taken as the Lebesgue measure on [0,1), then the distribution $\{g_n\}$ in question reduces to a negative binomial distribution.

Remark 5. It is interesting to note that if a p.g.f. G satisfies (3.2) simultaneously for two pairs (π_i, π_i') , i = 1, 2, where $0 < \pi_i < \pi_i' < 1$ and $(\log \pi_1 - \log \pi_1') / (\log \pi_2 - \log \pi_2')$ is irrational, then G is the p.g.f. of a negative binomial distribution of the form

$$G(s) = \frac{\left\{ \left[\pi_{1}^{\dagger} (1 - \pi_{1}) / (\pi_{1}^{\dagger} - \pi_{1}) \right] - 1 \right\}^{\alpha}}{\left\{ \left[\pi_{1}^{\dagger} (1 - \pi_{1}) / (\pi_{1}^{\dagger} - \pi_{1}) \right] - s \right\}^{\alpha}}$$

for some $\alpha > 0$. Since the condition implies G to be well defined also on $(1,s_0)$ where $s_0 = \pi_1^*(1-\pi_1)/(\pi_1^*-\pi_1)$, the result in question follows as a corollary to the result of Marsaglia and Tubilla (1975) by noting in particular that $f(x) = G(s_0-s_0e^{-x})/G(0)$, $x \ge 0$ is well defined and satisfies the equation $f(t_1+x) = f(t_1)f(x)$, $x \ge 0$, i = 1,2 with $t_1 = \log(\pi_1^*/\pi_1)$, i = 1,2. The same result was established in Rao et al (1980) by a different and slightly more involved method.

4. REFERENCES

- [1] Feller, W. (1971). <u>Introduction to Probability and its Applications</u>, Vol. 2 Wiley, New York. (Second edition).
- [2] Marsaglia, G. and Tabilla, A. (1975). A note on the lack of memory property of the exponential distribution. Ann. Prob., 3, 352-354.
- [3] Rao, C.R. and Rubin, H. (1964). On a characterization of the Poisson Distribution. Sankhya, Ser. A, Vol. 26, 295-298.
- [4] Rao, C.R., Srivastava, R.C., Talwalker, S. and Edgar, G.A. (1980). Characterization of probability distributions based on generalized Rao-Rubin condition. Sankhya, Ser. A, Vol. 42, 161-169.
- [5] Seneta, E. (1973). Non-negative Matrices. George Allen & Unwin Ltd., London.
- [6] Shanbhag, D.N. (1974). An elementary proof for the Rao-Rubin characterization of the Poisson distribution. J. Appl. Prob., 211-215.
- [7] Talwalker, S. (1980). A note on the generalized Rao-Rubin condition and characterization of certain discrete distributions. <u>J. Appl. Prob</u> 17, 563-569.

END

FILMED

11-85

DTIC